

HORIZON 2020 CAPACITY BUILDING/ MEDITERRANEAN ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME
DRAFT EVALUATION REPORT

General data of the training:

Training code	
Training title	<i>"Developing methodologies for effective involvement of all necessary stakeholders (public participation, multi stakeholders processes, EIAs, SEAs, etc.)"</i>
Country	Croatia
Schedule	27-28 October 2011
Responsible trainer	Angela Klauschen
Number of trainees	23

General findings:

The questionnaire was filled by 22 out of 23 participants. Overall, the training was considered well-structured and the knowledge transferred useful and practical. This is reflected in the questions whereby the opinion of the trainees is assessed according to a 4 point scale: 100% of the trainees agree or fully agree that they have now a better insight in the theme, they felt they could speak out during the training, had the possibility to participate actively and stimulated to take initiative in their work. All of the trainees agreed that the program was properly build up, that the used work forms were sufficiently adapted to the theme of the work program and that the training was coached in an exciting and vibrant way.

It is interesting to note that the trainees highlighted the possibility to mainstream EU principles into the national legislation/practices and public hearings on SEA among the important topics they would like to pay more attention in the future. As to the opinion of the trainees on the elements of the training they would like to be seen adapted/included if the course was to be given again they pointed out the problems in countries which are not part of the Aarhus Convention. The location, infrastructure and were well perceived by the trainees, less the translation.

How have you experienced the training program?

- It was useful and interesting
- An experience to exchange knowledge and experiences
- The training was supported by good presentations material that participants should use in their future work and disseminate
- I am satisfied from this training, I am sure it will help me in my job in the future
- Very much in line with my everyday job. I felt relaxed

1. Did the program correspond to the course information that you received before the training program?

- Yes
- I was expecting to hear more about which data have to be included EIA/SEA (1 trainee)

2. Please, note below some personal learning points which you want to pay more attention for in the future

- Real life situation vs. law
- Developers' obligations
- IPCC stakeholders
- The possibility to mainstream EU principles into the national legislation/practices
- Legal framework and sustainable development practices
- Hearings in the SEA process

DRAFT EVALUATION REPORT

3. If two/three elements of this training program were to be adapted, what suggestions would you give?
 - All was good
 - It would be nice to emphasize problems in countries having to enforce the Aarhus Convention and possible alternative solutions
 - Presentations must be discussed from point to point
 - More SEA case studies

4. If we did this course again, what would you really like to keep?
 - The discussion and the presentations
 - Getting materials on USB sticks
 - Working groups and case studies

HORIZON 2020 CAPACITY BUILDING/ MEDITERRANEAN ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME
DRAFT EVALUATION REPORT

Part B Opinions of trainees according to a 4 point scale for the following 11 questions (the evaluation form was filled by 22 out of 23 trainees)

	<u>Strongly disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Fully agree</u>	<u>Do not answer</u>
1. I have now a better insight in the theme of the course			5	17	
2. It was easy as a participant to speak out during the course			4	18	
3. I appreciated that I could participate actively during the course			6	16	
4. I feel that because I followed this course I have been positively stimulated to take initiative in my work environment			10	11	
5. The sessions in this course were clear and properly build up			8	14	
6. The used work forms were sufficiently adapted to the theme of the course			6	15	1
7. The course was coached in an exciting and vibrant way		1	10	11	
8. The atmosphere between participants was amicable/ friendly			5	17	
9. The location was most suitable for this program		1	8	13	
10. The infrastructure (space, materials) was optimal for this program		1	7	14	
11. The translation was satisfactory	2	1	4	7	8